arXiv:1512.01761v1 [math.GT] 6 Dec 2015

THE 825 SMALLEST RIGHT-ANGLED HYPERBOLIC POLYHEDRA

TAIYO INOUE

Abstract. An algorithm for determining the list of smallest volume right-angled hyperbolic polyhedra in dimension 3 is described. This algorithm has been implemented on computer using the program `Orb` to compute volumes, and the first 825 polyhedra in the list have been determined.

1. Introduction

In a prior paper [Ino08], I described how to organize the volumes of the infinite family of compact, right-angled hyperbolic polyhedra in hyperbolic 3-space $\mathbb{H}^3$. This involves applying one of two combinatorial operations to the 1–skeleton of the polyhedron – either delete an edge (edge-surgery) or split the polyhedron along an "incompressible" polygon into a pair of polyhedra (decomposition). The effect of this modification is to reduce the average complexity of the polyhedron, while keeping it in the family of right-angled polyhedra. Repeated application of these modifications produces a chain of polyhedra which terminates in a family of right-angled polyhedra which are "atomic" with respect to these operations. These are called Löbell polyhedra. Every non-Löbell right-angled hyperbolic polyhedron can have one of these operations performed on it. In particular, every right-angled hyperbolic polyhedron can be obtained by applying these operations in reverse – either add an edge or glue two polyhedra together.

The geometry of a right-angled hyperbolic polyhedron is determined completely by its combinatorics. Once the 1–skeleton of the polyhedron is given (a trivalent planar graph with some additional conditions to be described), the geometric structure which makes the polyhedron right-angled and hyperbolic is unique in the sense that any other right-angled hyperbolic polyhedron with an isomorphic 1–skeleton is isometric to the one given. This leads one to suspect that a combinatorial approach to geometric problems, such as the ordering of volumes, is feasible.

In fact, the combinatorial operations of edge-deletion and decomposition described above have realizations as geometric operations. Edge-deletion looks like increasing the dihedral angle measure of the edge being deleted so that it goes from $\frac{\pi}{2}$ to π while also leaving all the other edges with right-angled dihedral angles – so a sort of continuous flattening. This deformation is analogous to 3–manifold surgery. Decomposition is like cutting all the way through the polyhedron with a knife, then molding of the resulting pieces to make them right-angled polyhedra.

It happens that each of these operations decreases the volume of the polyhedron, except in some special cases of decomposition where the splitting surface is a totally geodesic polygon (in this case, the volume does not change). It is also the case that the average number of faces of each component of the polyhedron will decrease (keep in mind that decomposition actually splits a polyhedron into pieces and I am



FIGURE 1. Planar projections (Tutte embeddings) of the 1–skeleta of the first six Löbell polyhedra.

blurring the distinction between a polyhedron (singular) and polyhedra (plural)). Therefore, this process will eventually terminate. In fact, this process terminates in a family of Löbell polyhedra.

So we have the following situation. Start with a non-Löbell right-angled hyperbolic polyhedron P. Repeatedly apply either edge surgery or decomposition resulting in a chain of right-angled polyhedra eventually terminating in a collection of Löbell polyhedra L:

$$P \to P_1 \to P_2 \to ... \to P_k = L$$

Taking the volume of each polyhedron in the above chain produces a chain of inequalities of volumes of right-angled hyperbolic polyhedra:

$$\text{Vol}(P) \geq \text{Vol}(P_1) \geq \text{Vol}(P_2)... \geq \text{Vol}(P_k) = \text{Vol}(L)$$

The number $\text{Vol}(L)$ can be explicitly calculated since there are known formulas for the volumes of right-angled hyperbolic Löbell polyhedra [Ves98].

A simple corollary of this result is that the smallest and second-smallest right-angled hyperbolic polyhedra are the Löbell polyhedra L_5 and L_6 respectively. The 1–skeleta of these polyhedra are in Figure 1. Note that L_5 is the right-angled dodecahedron. The main result described in this paper is to extend this list from the two smallest polyhedra to the 825 smallest polyhedra via an algorithm implemented on computer. Also provided is a way to extend this list to many thousands of entries if certain computational obstacles can be overcome.

2. Preliminaries

The setting for the polyhedra throughout this paper is hyperbolic 3–space $\mathbb{H}^3$. A *right-angled hyperbolic polyhedron* is a compact intersection of finitely many hyperbolic half-spaces such that if the boundaries of two of these half-spaces intersect, then the intersection has a dihedral angle measure of $\frac{\pi}{2}$. Such polyhedra can be viewed as Coxeter orbifolds. The action of the group generated by reflections in the boundaries of half-spaces of right-angled polyhedra produces beautiful tilings of $\mathbb{H}^3$ similar to the familiar tiling of euclidean space by cubes. [1]

In 1967, A. Pogorelov classified right-angled hyperbolic polyhedra by the combinatorics of their 1–skeleta [Pog67]:

Theorem: (Pogorelov 1967) *A polyhedron P has a geometric realization in $\mathbb{H}^3$ as a right-angled hyperbolic polyhedron if and only if:*

1. *The 1–skeleton of P is trivalent.*
2. *There are no prismatic 3 or 4–circuits (defined below).*

This geometric realization is unique up to isometry.

A *prismatic k–circuit* is a closed curve on the boundary of the polyhedron which intersects exactly k edges transversely such that no two of these edges share a vertex. A prismatic k–circuit can be viewed as a polyhedral analog of the notion of incompressible surfaces for 3–manifolds as it bounds a topological k–gon in the polyhedron. If the hyperbolic polyhedron is right-angled, we can understand the prohibition of prismatic 3 and 4–circuits as being analogous to the prohibition of essential spheres and irreducible tori in hyperbolic 3–manifolds since right-angled triangles are naturally spherical and right-angled quadrilaterals are euclidean.

Pogorelov's result demonstrates that right-angled hyperbolic polyhedra are fundamentally combinatorial as they are determined completely by their 1–skeleton.

Two distinct faces F_1 and F_2 of a right-angled hyperbolic polyhedron P are *edge-connected* if they are nonadjacent and there exists an edge e of P connecting a vertex of F_1 to a vertex of F_2. Such an edge will be said to *edge-connect* F_1 and F_2. Since P has a trivalent 1–skeleton, every edge of P edge-connects a unique pair of faces.

A face F of P is *large* if it is a k–gon with $k \geq 6$. If an edge e edge-connects two large faces and it is not intersected by a prismatic 5–circuit, then this edge is said to be *very good*. It has been shown that deleting the interior of a very good edge and demoting the endpoints to non-vertices results in a new right-angled hyperbolic polyhedron with one less face, three fewer edges, and two fewer vertices. This new polyhedron has strictly less volume than the one started with. Call this process *edge-deletion*.

If a polyhedron P has a large face F, then we can add an edge to P by connecting two points which lie on the interiors of two different edges, as long as these edges are separated by at least two edges in F. These conditions ensure that the polyhedron which results will not have any prismatic 3 or 4–circuits, and so will have a realization as a right-angled hyperbolic polyhedron. Call this operation *edge-addition*.

Every right-angled hyperbolic polyhedron other than the dodecahedron has a large face. Therefore, edges can almost always be added to a polyhedron to generate

[1] See, for example, the video *Not Knot* for a guided tour of $\mathbb{H}^3$ tiled by right-angled hyperbolic dodecahedra [GM91].

new examples of right-angled polyhedra. Call the polyhedra which result from edge-addition *edge-children* and the set of all edge-children, edge-children of the edge-children, etc. the *edge-descendants*.

The other fundamental operation needed is *composition*, and its inverse, *decomposition*. If two polyhedra P_1 and P_2 both have a face which is a k–gon, then by choosing a combinatorial isomorphism of these k–gons, P_1 and P_2 can be glued together along these faces. Most of the time, the faces will not be isometric to one another in the geometric realization of P_1 and P_2 and so this gluing may not make so much sense geometrically. But it's certainly fine to do topologically or combinatorially – identify the subgraphs of the 1–skeleta corresponding to the k–gons using the combinatorial isomorphism, delete the interiors of the edges of the k–gons, and demote the vertices to non-vertices. It turns out that this operation, which will be called *composition*, results in a right-angled hyperbolic polyhedron. The inverse operation, splitting a polyhedron into two right-angled polyhedra, is called *decomposition*.

Decomposition should be viewed as the polyhedral analog of decomposition of Haken hyperbolic 3–manifolds along incompressible surfaces. Note that composition along a k–gon will have a distinguished prismatic k–circuit bounding a topological k–gon in the polyhedron which is analogous to an incompressible surface. Taking this analogy a little further, a result of Agol, Storm, Thurston and Dunfield [ADST07] sheds light on the effect of composition/decomposition on volume. If P is a composition of Q_1 and Q_2, then

$$\mathrm{vol}(P) \geq \mathrm{vol}(Q_1) + \mathrm{vol}(Q_2)$$

with equality occurring if and only if the polygonal gluing sites are isometric.

An infinite family of right-angled hyperbolic polyhedra called the *Löbell polyhedra* can be constructed as follows. Start with an n–gon where $n \geq 5$. Attach to each edge of this n–gon a pentagon, and glue the remaining edges of the pentagon together to produce a bowl shape. Two copies of this can be glued together to produce a polyhedron L_n with two n–gons, and $2n$ pentagons. These polyhedra are notable in that they have no very good edges and are not formed by the composition of any two right-angled polyhedra. See Figure 1 for pictures of the Löbell polyhedra.

The main result of [Ino08] is the following:

Theorem 1. *Let P_0 be a compact right-angled hyperbolic polyhedron. Then there exists a sequence of disjoint unions of right-angled hyperbolic polyhedra $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k$ such that for $i = 1, \ldots, k$, P_i is gotten from P_{i-1} by either a decomposition or edge surgery, and P_k is a set of Löbell polyhedra. Furthermore,*

$$\mathrm{vol}(P_0) \geq \mathrm{vol}(P_1) \geq \mathrm{vol}(P_2) \geq \cdots \geq \mathrm{vol}(P_k).$$

Corollary 2. *Every right-angled hyperbolic polyhedron can be obtained by a sequence of either edge additions or compositions applied to a set of Löbell polyhedra.*

3. Description of the Algorithm

`Orb` is a program written by Damien Heard for computing geometric structures of many 3–dimensional orbifolds [Hea13]. It is an extension of Jeff Weeks' `Snappea` program for 3–manifolds [Wee01]. Orbifolds with underlying space S^3 are typically entered into `Orb` by manually drawing the singular locus of the orbifold on a planar canvas that is part of the user interface. The singular locus will be a (possibly)

knotted graph. The user then specifies the cone angle at each edge. `Orb` triangulates the resulting orbifold and numerically computes the hyperbolic structure on each tetrahedron which glue together to produce the orbifold (assuming a hyperbolic structure exists). One can then probe various aspects of the geometrized orbifold such as volume, lengths of geodesics and many other things.

`Orb` can compute the volume of a right-angled hyperbolic polyhedron P by constructing an orbifold double cover Q. This orbifold is easily visualized by taking two copies of P and gluing each face of one copy to the corresponding face of the other. As P is homeomorphic to a ball, this doubling operation produces an orbifold Q with underlying space S^3 whose singular locus is the 1–skeleton of P. The cone angle of each edge of the singular locus of Q is π. This orbifold Q has a hyperbolic structure, and since it is a double cover of P, the volume of Q is precisely twice that of P. All volumes reported in this paper come from `Orb` in this way.

The orbifolds constructed in `Orb`'s user interface can be saved to a plain text file which stores the triangulation of the orbifold in Casson format. If the geometric structure of the orbifold has been computed, then this data is also saved to the file.

The algorithm used here implements a highly stripped-down version of `Orb` called `Vol` (named simply to distinguish from `Orb`) which works from a command line interface. `Vol` accepts an orb file and outputs the volume to the console. This simplified console version of `Orb` the makes automating the computation and ordering of volumes easier.

Edge-addition is implemented as a `python` program. It accepts an `Orb` file containing a triangulation of P as input. The program will then find large faces of P and add an edge connecting points of two edges which separated by at least two edges on either side. It will then triangulate the resulting orbifold to produce an edge-child of P, then save the result as an `Orb` file. This function can be repeatedly invoked to produce all of the edge-children of P.

I did not bother to implement composition of polyhedra as a computer program. The justification for this is given below.

The algorithm proceeds as follows. A file $\mathcal{L}$ is read at the start. It serves as a plain text database containing a row for each polyhedron that has been constructed. Each invocation of the algorithm can extend the number of polyhedra represented in $\mathcal{L}$ by quite a large number. However, each invocation extends the list of smallest volume polyhedra by exactly one. This is because $\mathcal{L}$ contains the rank-ordered list of smallest polyhedra, but also contains the children of these.

If the algorithm has never been invoked on $\mathcal{L}$, then $\mathcal{L}$ contains only certain polyhedra chosen to generate a complete list of the polyhedra whose volume is below some pre-determined value (more on this in Theorem 3). These initial polyhedra serve as seeds for the program to work from. It is clear that some of the smaller-volume Löbell polyhedra must be included in this initial list, but there are others that must be added as well. More on this later.

The algorithm scans the list $\mathcal{L}$ by volume and finds the smallest polyhedron P whose edge-children have not yet been computed. This polyhedron is the next-smallest polyhedron in the list and is recorded as such by assigning it its rank-ordering in terms of smallest volume (for example, L_5 is identified as "1.orb" in the list $\mathcal{L}$ after the very first invocation of the algorithm). When it finds P, the algorithm computes every possible child of it. Each child C is then tested to see if it already on the list or not. This is done by checking if the 1–skeleton of C



FIGURE 2. The first five generations of edge-descendants of L_6 (labeled "2"). The numerical labels indicate rank ordering in the list of smallest volume polyhedra.

(a trivalent planar graph) is graph-isomorphic to the 1–skeleton of a polyhedron already on the list.

For the graph isomorphism task, the `python` module `graph-tool` is used [Pei15]. It contains a function for testing graph isomorphism. However, for the sake of efficiency, before this `graph-tool` function is called, the *face vector* of the child C is computed. This face vector is a vector of integers which keeps track of the number of pentagons, hexagons, septagons, octagons, etc. among the faces of P. So, for instance, L_8 has face vector $[16, 0, 0, 2]$ since it has 16 pentagonal faces and 2 octagonal faces. From $\mathcal{L}$, all of the polyhedra which have the same face vector as the child are collected – obviously those which do not have the same face vector as C cannot be isometric to C. This serves as a computationally cheap first-pass filter which cuts down on the number of graph isomorphism checks that need to be done.

However, there can be hundreds of polyhedra listed in $\mathcal{L}$ which have the same face vector as the child C. In this case, rather than calling the graph isomorphism function hundreds of times, the algorithm will instead run the child C through `Vol`, then collect from $\mathcal{L}$ all the polyhedra with the same recorded volume as C. Then the graph isomorphism test compares C with this presumably smaller family of polyhedra. If C is not found to be in the list of polyhedra with the same volume, then it is tested for graph isomorphism against the entire list of polyhedra with

the same face vector. This is to ensure that the absence of C from the list of same-volume polyhedra isn't due to numerical error in `Vol`.

At this point, if C is not found to be in the list $\mathcal{L}$, it is run through `Vol` (if it has not already) to obtain its volume. A new row is added to $\mathcal{L}$ containing the relevant information. If C is found in $\mathcal{L}$, then the fact that P is a parent of C is recorded in the row corresponding to P so as to record the "family tree" of right-angled polyhedra. See Figure 2.

But there is an additional wrinkle in this process. Sometimes for particular triangulations of polyhedra with a relatively large number of faces (25 or greater), `Vol` is unable to find a geometric solution to the gluing equations. A rejiggering of the triangulation can sometimes get `Vol` to find the geometric solution and hence the correct volume. However, there are still a handful of polyhedra which do not have an "`Vol`-certified" volume.

This is an obstacle to getting a long list of smallest-volume polyhedra. Examining the parentage of the problematic polyhedra reveals that this obstacle will limit the correctness of the list to the first 825 right-angled polyhedra. If this problem could be fixed, then the list of smallest-volume polyhedra could go from 825 polyhedra to many thousands of polyhedra long.

To summarize:

(1) A file $\mathcal{L}$ which contains a list of initial polyhedra (the seeds) and some of their edge-descendants is read into memory.
(2) The smallest volume polyhedron P listed in $\mathcal{L}$ whose edge-children have not yet been computed is found. This is the next smallest volume polyhedron. The orb file which triangulates P is read into memory.
(3) A `python` program finds the large faces of P and performs all possible edge-additions until all edge-children have been created.
(4) Each edge-child Q is compared against the polyhedra in the list $\mathcal{L}$ to see whether it can be found in $\mathcal{L}$.
    - To reduce the number of polyhedra in $\mathcal{L}$ which need to be compared to Q, polyhedra in $\mathcal{L}$ with the same face vector as Q are collected. Call this list $\mathcal{F}$.
        - If the number of polyhedra in $\mathcal{F}$ is bigger than some threshold value, then the volume of Q is computed using `Vol` and all polyhedra in $\mathcal{L}$ with the same computed volume are collected. Call this list $\mathcal{V}$.
            - `graph-tool` tests for graph isomorphism between Q and elements of $\mathcal{V}$.
                - If a graph isomorphism is found, go to step (5a).
                - If a graph isomorphism is not found, then Q is tested for graph isomorphism against all the elements in $\mathcal{F}$. If it is not found to be isomorphic to something in $\mathcal{F}$, go to step (5b). Otherwise, go to step (5a).
        - If the number of polyhedra in $\mathcal{F}$ is smaller than some threshold value, then each polyhedron in $\mathcal{F}$ is tested to see if it is isomorphic to Q.
(5a) If Q is found to be in $\mathcal{L}$, then the fact that P is a parent of Q is recorded in the row corresponding to P, and Q is then discarded.

(5b) If Q is not found to be in $\mathcal{L}$, then the volume of Q is computed (if it has not already been computed), a new row is added to $\mathcal{L}$ and the volume and face vector are recorded.
- If the volume calculation fails for Q, this is also recorded. If later a triangulation is found for which the volume calculation of Q is successful, the row in $\mathcal{L}$ corresponding to Q is updated with the information.

(6) All polyhedra created which were found to be previously unrepresented in $\mathcal{L}$ are written from memory into an orb file. The list $\mathcal{L}$ with its updated information is written to a file.

All of the programs mentioned above are freely available. The `python` progrms and the modified version of `Orb`, along with the `Orb` files I generated running the program, are freely available upon request.

4. Composition

I decided not to include a computer implementation of composition because of the computational cost of computing all possible compositions of two polyhedra. To demonstrate this, note that every right-angled hyperbolic polyhedron has at least 12 pentagonal faces. Unless some symmetry conditions can be exploited, the number of compositions between two polyhedra is at least $12 \times 12 \times 10 = 1440$ and this only counts compositions along pentagons. There will be an even greater number if both polyhedra have hexagons, septagons, etc. Finding the complete set of compositions of every pair of polyhedra in the existing list of smallest polyhedra would be very computationally expensive, and so my decision was to forgo it.

Many polyhedra which can be obtained by composition do not need composition to be built up from the Löbell polyhedra – edge-additions alone will suffice. So such compositions will be included in the list as edge-children of the Löbell polyhedra. But this is not always the case. An example of a polyhedron which cannot be obtained from edge-additions on the Löbell polyhedra is the composition of the dodecahedron with itself denoted $L_5 \cup L_5$. Note that by symmetry of L_5, there is only one possible composition of these two dodecahedra. This composition can be thought of as doubling L_5 along any one of its faces. Since this polyhedron fails to have any very good edges, decomposition is required to break it down into Löbell polyhedra.

I noted above that the program needs some initial set of polyhedra (seeds) to begin computing the list of smallest polyhedra. Denote this initial set $\mathcal{I}$ and the union of $\mathcal{I}$ with set of all edge-descendants by $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$. If $\mathcal{I}$ consists only of Löbell polyhedra, then $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$ will not include $L_5 \cup L_5$. Therefore, $L_5 \cup L_5$ is included in $\mathcal{I}$.

So the question becomes, "If $\mathcal{I}$ consists of the Löbell polyhedra and $L_5 \cup L_5$, is $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$ the set of all right-angled hyperbolic polyhedra?" The answer to this question is no. This will be discussed more below.

I will prove the following weaker proposition:

Theorem 3. *If $\mathcal{I}$ consists of the Löbell polyhedra and $L_5 \cup L_5$, then the set of right-angled hyperbolic polyhedra whose volume is less than 15 is contained in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$.*

Proof: Corollary 2 says every right-angled polyhedron P is obtainable by edge-additions and compositions of the Löbell polyhedra and their edge-descendants. By definition, if P is obtainable from the Löbell polyhedra using only edge-additions, then it is included in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$. In light of this, the only polyhedra which could be

excluded from $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$ are compositions and their edge-descendants. The theorem is proved if it is shown that all compositions which have volume less than 15 are contained in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$.

Here is a simple result which is helpful to this end:

Lemma 4. *Suppose one of the following statements holds for a right-angled polyhedron P:*

1. *P has a pair of very good edges e_1 and e_2 which satisfy the following conditions:*
   (a) *e_1 and e_2 do not both belong to the same face,*
   (b) *if e_1 edge-connects faces F_1 to F_2 and e_2 edge-connects G_1 to G_2, then $F_i \neq G_j$ for $i, j = 1, 2$,*
   (c) *e_1 is not an edge of G_1 or G_2, and e_2 is not an edge of F_1 or F_2.*
2. *P has three very good edges, e_{12}, e_{23}, e_{31}, no two of which belong to the same face, and which edge-connect faces F_1 to F_2, F_2 to F_3, and F_3 to F_1.*

Then any composition of polyhedra which involves P contains a very good edge e which has the property that edge-deleting e will result in a polyhedron which is decomposable.

The utility of this result is that if Q is the composition of two polyhedra P_1 and P_2, one of which satisfies the conditions of the lemma, then Q must have a very good edge which when deleted results in a strictly smaller-volume composition. If it has been shown that this smaller-volume composition is in our the list of edge-descendants $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$, then it follows that Q will also be in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$.

Proof of Lemma: This proof will begin with a sub-lemma.

Sub-Lemma 5. *Suppose e is a very good edge of P. Denote the faces of P to which e belongs J_1 and J_2, and the faces that e edge-connects K_1 and K_2. Denote by eP the polyhedron which is obtained by edge-deletion of e. Then the composition $P \cup Q$ along a face $F \subset P$ other than K_1, K_2, J_1, and J_2 has a very good edge which when edge-deleted, results in the composition $eP \cup Q$.*

Proof of Sub-Lemma: For ease of communication, it is useful to define topological inclusions of P and Q into the composition $P \cup Q$. The polyhedron $P \cup Q$ has a distinguished prismatic k–circuit, call it d corresponding to the boundary of the face F that was the site of the composition. The prismatic k–circuit bounds an embedded topological k–gon in $P \cup Q$ which is the site on the boundary of P where P and Q were glued. Splitting $P \cup Q$ along this topological k–gon produces two components which are topologically and combinatorially isomorphic to P and Q. This defines inclusions $i : P \to P \cup Q$ and $j : Q \to P \cup Q$,

A simple observation about a composition involving P is that it does not decrease the number of edges in any face of P which is not the gluing site F. By this I mean that if G is any face of P other than F, $i(G)$ is a face of $P \cup Q$ which has at least as many edges as G. Further, composition necessarily increases the number of edges of those faces which are adjacent to F. By this I mean that if C is a face of P adjacent to F, then $i(C)$ is contained in a face of $P \cup Q$ which has more edges than C.

Therefore, returning to the context of the sub-lemma, since composition takes place at a face other than K_1 or K_2, these faces do not see a decrease in their number of edges. Also, since the composition does not happen at J_1 or J_2, we

know that $i(e)$ is an edge of $P \cup Q$. Therefore, $i(e)$ edge-connects two large faces, namely $i(K_1)$ and $i(K_2)$.

For the purposes of establishing a contradiction, suppose that $i(e)$ is an edge intersected by a prismatic 5–circuit c. If c does not intersect the distinguished prismatic k–circuit d described above, then c must be a prismatic 5–circuit contained entirely in $i(P)$. This implies that e is intersected by a prismatic 5–circuit in P. This is a contradiction.

So suppose c intersects d. Since c and d are simple closed loops on a sphere, they must intersect in at least two points. These two points can get mapped back to P by the inverse map $i^{-1} : i(P) \to P$. Since c is prismatic, these two points in P lie on two different edges of the face F which do not share an endpoint. These two points can then be connected by a curve lying entirely within F to connect the endpoints create a prismatic circuit in P. Note that this prismatic circuit necessarily intersects fewer edges in P than c does in $P \cup Q$. This is a contradiction.

This proves that $i(e)$ is a very good edge of $P \cup Q$.

Let R denote the polyhedron which is obtained by edge-deleting $i(e)$. Note that d persists as a prismatic k–circuit in R and splitting R along the topological k–gon bounded by d results in components combinatorially isomorphic to eP and Q. Therefore, R is $eP \cup Q$.

This ends the proof of the sub-lemma. □

Returning to the proof of the lemma, for any composition of P and Q along a face $F \subset P$, either of the conditions on P described in the statement of the lemma guarantees the existence of a very good edge in P which is disjoint from F.

Suppose P satisfies the first condition of the lemma. The faces which e_1 edge-connects are denoted F_1 and F_2 – denote the faces to which e_1 belongs by J_1 and J_2. If the face F where the composition is to take place is not one of these four faces, then the conclusion of the lemma follows from the sub-lemma.

So suppose $F = F_1$. Then by condition (b), F is not G_1 nor G_2, and by condition (c), e_2 is not an edge of F. Therefore, this choice of edge e_2 and gluing face F satisfy the conditions of the sub-lemma, from which the lemma follows. A similar argument works if $F = F_2$.

So suppose $F = J_1$. Then e_1 is an edge of F. Then by condition (a), e_2 is not an edge of F and by condition (c), neither G_1 nor G_2 is F. Thus, the lemma follows.

The above argument can be trivially adapted by relabeling indices to show that if $F = G_1$, $F = G_2$, or e_2 is an edge of F, then e_1 and F satisfy the conditions of the sub-lemma. Hence, the conclusion of the lemma follows from condition (1).

Now consider condition (2) of the lemma. Suppose $F = F_1$. Then F is neither F_2 nor F_3. Further, e_{23} cannot be an edge of F since trivalence would then imply F_1 is adjacent to both F_2 and F_3 which would preclude the existence of a very good edge (or any edge at all) between them. Therefore, the choice of edge e_{23} and choice of gluing site $F = F_1$ satisfy the conditions of the sub-lemma, and so the lemma follows. This argument can be adapted to the cases where $F = F_2$ or $F = F_3$ as well.

Suppose F has e_{12} as an edge. Then neither e_{23} nor e_{31} are edges of F. Neither F_1 nor F_2 can be F since e_{12} edges connects F_1 and F_2. Further, F_3 cannot be F trivalence would imply that F_3 is adjacent to F_1 and F_2. Therefore, the lemma follows.

This ends the proof of the lemma. □

$\text{vol}(A_1) = 4.30621$	$\text{vol}(A_{14}) = 9.56488$	$\text{vol}(A_{27}) = 10.34848$
$\text{vol}(A_2) = 6.02304$	$\text{vol}(A_{15}) = 9.62756$	$\text{vol}(A_{28}) = 10.40429$
$\text{vol}(A_3) = 6.96701$	$\text{vol}(A_{16}) = 9.67726$	$\text{vol}(A_{29}) = 10.41604$
$\text{vol}(A_4) = 7.56325$	$\text{vol}(A_{17}) = 9.71117$	$\text{vol}(A_{30}) = 10.42605$
$\text{vol}(A_5) = 7.86995$	$\text{vol}(A_{18}) = 9.73084$	$\text{vol}(A_{31}) = 10.48885$
$\text{vol}(A_6) = 8.00023$	$\text{vol}(A_{19}) = 9.80384$	$\text{vol}(A_{32}) = 10.48909$
$\text{vol}(A_7) = 8.61241$	$\text{vol}(A_{20}) = 9.81423$	$\text{vol}(A_{33}) = 10.53439$
$\text{vol}(A_8) = 8.67652$	$\text{vol}(A_{21}) = 9.83568$	$\text{vol}(A_{34}) = 10.56155$
$\text{vol}(A_9) = 8.86089$	$\text{vol}(A_{22}) = 9.92355$	$\text{vol}(A_{35}) = 10.59201$
$\text{vol}(A_{10}) = 8.9466$	$\text{vol}(A_{23}) = 9.97683$	$\text{vol}(A_{36}) = 10.61998$
$\text{vol}(A_{11}) = 9.01905$	$\text{vol}(A_{24}) = 9.97717$	$\text{vol}(A_{37}) = 10.63572$
$\text{vol}(A_{12}) = 9.20916$	$\text{vol}(A_{25}) = 10.21991$	$\text{vol}(A_{38}) = 10.67059$
$\text{vol}(A_{13}) = 9.47497$	$\text{vol}(A_{26}) = 10.3378$	$\text{vol}(A_{39}) = 10.67059$

TABLE 1. This table contains the volumes of the 39 smallest right-angled hyperbolic polyhedra in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$. Shaded cells represent polyhedra which do not satisfy the conditions of the lemma.

Returning to the proof of the theorem, since only compositions of volume less than 15 need to be considered, I will restrict attention to compositions involving the 39 smallest-volume polyhedra in the set $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$ – denote this subset $\mathcal{A}$. This is because any composition that involves a polyhedron in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$ larger in volume than those in $\mathcal{A}$ is necessarily larger than 15 in volume [2]. I will denote the nth smallest polyhedron in $\mathcal{A}$ by A_n. So, for instance, $A_1 = L_5$, $A_2 = L_6$, and A_3 is the unique polyhedron obtained by edge-addition on L_6.

By manual observation of 1–skeleta, those polyhedra which satisfy the conditions of the lemma can be detected (see Appendix B for pictures of these 1–skeleta). It happens that 13 of the polyhedra in $\mathcal{A}$ fail to satisfy the conditions of the lemma. Denote this set of 13 polyhedra $\mathcal{B}$. Interestingly, $\mathcal{B}$ contains exactly those polyhedra which are not edge-descendants of $A_2 = L_6$. Figure 2 shows the family tree of edge-descendants. Notice that A_1, A_4, A_7, A_{11}, A_{12}, A_{18}, A_{23}, A_{26}, A_{29}, A_{30}, A_{35}, A_{39} are all missing from this family tree. Adding A_2 completes the list $\mathcal{B}$.

Table 1 contains the volumes of all the elements of $\mathcal{A}$. Shaded in gray are those polyhedra which lie in $\mathcal{B}$.

The task now is to show that compositions of two polyhedra in $\mathcal{B}$ and which have volume less than 15 are elements of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$. Once this has been shown, the theorem will be proved.

Here is the idea for how this proves the theorem. Consider a composition of any two elements of $\mathcal{A}$, say $P \cup Q$. If one of them satisfies the conditions of the lemma (that is, one of them is in $\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{B}$), then an edge-deletion will obtain $eP \cup Q$. Note that since the volume of eP is strictly smaller than that of P, eP is also in $\mathcal{A}$. If either eP or Q satisfies the conditions of the lemma, then we can repeat this process. Repeat until both of the components of the composition fail to satisfy

[2]The 40th smallest polyhedron in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$ has volume 10.72713 and so the smallest possible compoisition (which is with L_5) will have volume at least $10.72713 + 4.3062 > 15$



FIGURE 3. This polyhedron has no very good edge.

the conditions of the lemma. Then the composition is in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$ as are all of its edge-descendants which includes $P \cup Q$.

Certain pairs of polyhedra do not need to considered since the volume of the composition is easily determined to be larger than 15 (recall the lower bound on composition $\text{vol}(P \cup Q) \geq \text{vol}(P) + \text{vol}(Q)$). For instance, for any polyhedron in $\mathcal{B}$ whose volume is larger than 9, only the composition with the dodecahedron A_1 needs to be considered since composing it with anything with larger volume will result in a polyhedron whose volume is larger than 15. This reduces the amount of work to do considerably.

Pairs of polyhedra in $\mathcal{B}$ whose compositions need to be considered and shown to be in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$ are:

- $(A_1, *)$, where $*$ is any element of $\mathcal{B}$,
- $(A_2, A_2), (A_2, A_4), (A_2, A_7)$

Note that the polyhedra A_1, A_2, A_4, A_{11}, and A_{30} are the Löbell polyhedra L_5, L_6, L_7, L_8 and L_9 respectively. Also, A_7 is $L_5 \cup L_5$.

Many of these pairs have polyhedra with symmetry which reduces the number of compositions that need to be considered. For example, the symmetries of the dodecahedron A_1 mean that the number of compositions between A_1 and any other polyhedron P is determined by the number of pentagons that P has as faces.

To show that compositions of these elements of $\mathcal{B}$ are in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$, I constructed the composition in `Orb`'s graphical user interface (really, it is the double cover which I constructed). This produces an orbifold which I can compare against the set $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$ (namely the polyhedra represented in the list $\mathcal{L}$) by checking for graph isomorphism.

This method shows that every composition of pairs of elements of $\mathcal{B}$ is in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$ except one! This exceptional composition is $A_{39} \cup A_1$ along one of A_{39}'s fifteen pentagonal faces (see Figure 3). Fortunately, this composition has volume 15.07032... and so does not contradict the theorem. I will discuss this interesting counterexample more below.

This proves the theorem. □

So to reiterate: every right-angled hyperbolic polyhedron with volume less than 15 can be obtained by repeated edge-additions on a Löbell polyhedron or $L_5 \cup L_5$. Therefore, the list of polyhedra whose volume is less than 15 can be obtained without having to implement composition algorithmically.



FIGURE 4. A scatter plot of the volumes of the first 200 smallest right-angled hyperbolic polyhedra.

5. Results

A table of volumes of the 825 smallest polyhedra appears in the appendix in this paper. The Tutte embeddings of the 100 smallest polyhedra also appears in the appendix. In Figure 4, a scatter plot of the volumes of the first 200 smallest polyhedron is given.

6. Problems and Questions

Here are some questions which I think are interesting:

(1) The list as it currently stands consists of 825 polyhedra. The 825th smallest right-angled polyhedron has volume 13.42033. But Theorem 3 implies that this list can be greatly extended without needing to introduce composition. The obstacle is that `Orb` is unable to compute the hyperbolic structure of certain right-angled hyperbolic polyhedra in my list and so I cannot accurately place them in the list. If a way of obtaining a reliable volume for these polyhedra comes to exist, then the list could probably be extended to many thousands of polyhedra.



FIGURE 5. A pair of non-isometric right-angled polyhedra with the same volume (up to 12 digits). What I am calling A_{38} is on the left, and A_{39} is on the right.

(2) The claim that every right-angled hyperbolic polyhedron is an edge-descendant of the Löbell polyhedra or $L_5 \cup L_5$ is false – a counterexample is provided by a composition of A_{39} and $A_1 = L_5$ (see Figure 3). This polyhedron cannot be an edge-descendant of anything because it has no very good edges. Of course, this polyhedron could be added to $\mathcal{I}$, and the list of smallest-volume polyhedra would then be extended without implementing composition, but there is no telling where the next such exceptional polyhedra will appear. Is there a characterization of polyhedra which are not edge-descendants?
(3) A_{39} is also notable because its `Orb`-reported volume is equal to the `Orb`-reported volume of A_{38} up to twelve digits of precision. This is not so unusual later in the list, when volumes become more dense. But two polyhedra with almost the same volume occur so early in the list is remarkable and raises the question: Why? Non-isometric polyhedra with the same volume can also arise by doubling a polyhedron across its various faces however A_{38} and A_{39} are not related in this way. See Figure 5.
(4) This list depends heavily on `Orb` and `graph-tool`. These are fantastic open-source programs but I lack the expertise to judge their numerical accuracy and correctness which are issues when working with very complicated polyhedra. Are these programs reliable enough to trust all of the information found in this list?
(5) Being able to see these hyperbolic polyhedra in their native habitat (namely $\mathbb{H}^3$) would be beautiful. A nice project would be to write a program which can take the geometric triangulation data found in `Orb` and create an image of the polyhedra in, say, the Poincaré ball model. The program `Geomview` is able to render such images, so this is really just a matter of translating from `Orb`'s file format to `Geomview`.

References

[ADST07] Ian Agol, Nathan M. Dunfield, Peter A. Storm, and William P. Thurston. Lower bounds on volumes of hyperbolic Haken 3-manifolds. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 20:1053–1077, 2007.

[GM91] Charlie Gunn and Delle Maxwell. *Not Knot*. A. K. Peters, 1991. Video.

[Hea13] D. Heard. `Orb`, 2013. `http://www.ms.unimelb.edu.au/~snap/orb.html` A computer program for creating and studying 3-orbifolds.

[Ino08] Taiyo Inoue. Organizing Volumes of Right-Angled Hyperbolic Polyhedra. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 8:1523–1565, 2008.

[Pei15] Tiago Peixoto. `graph-tool`, 2015. `https://graph-tool.skewed.de/` A `python` module for manipulation of graphs.

[Pog67] A. V. Pogorelov. Regular decompostion of the Lobačevskiĭ space. *Mat. Zametki*, 1:3–8, 1967. (Russian).

[Ves98] A. Yu. Vesnin. Volumes of Löbell 3-manifolds. *Mat. Zametki*, 64(1):17–23, 1998.

[Wee01] J. Weeks. SnapPea: a computer program for creating and studying hyperbolic 3-manifolds, 2001.

Appendix A: Table of Volumes

Rank: Volume

1:	4.3062108	46:	10.8816862	91:	11.545118
2:	6.023046	47:	10.8835451	92:	11.5594844
3:	6.9670114	48:	10.9208994	93:	11.5719948
4:	7.5632491	49:	10.9248751	94:	11.5732855
5:	7.8699479	50:	10.9363484	95:	11.581167
6:	8.0002343	51:	10.9543051	96:	11.5962092
7:	8.6124152	52:	10.9682397	97:	11.5994297
8:	8.6765244	53:	10.9860570	98:	11.6272353
9:	8.8608973	54:	10.9996896	99:	11.6282329
10:	8.9466057	55:	11.0587626	100:	11.6394320
11:	9.0190528	56:	11.1061236	101:	11.639562
12:	9.2091595	57:	11.1302424	102:	11.6445996
13:	9.4749695	58:	11.1955201	103:	11.6696888
14:	9.5648792	59:	11.263206	104:	11.6794851
15:	9.6275647	60:	11.2679748	105:	11.6904395
16:	9.6772650	61:	11.2751917	106:	11.6970789
17:	9.7111700	62:	11.2904576	107:	11.7084617
18:	9.7308471	63:	11.2913353	108:	11.7220185
19:	9.8038472	64:	11.2932291	109:	11.7318198
20:	9.8142328	65:	11.3094811	110:	11.7371977
21:	9.8356794	66:	11.3142710	111:	11.7408513
22:	9.9235512	67:	11.3182574	112:	11.7423134
23:	9.9768361	68:	11.3281616	113:	11.7559468
24:	9.9771698	69:	11.3480005	114:	11.7578225
25:	10.2199075	70:	11.3502332	115:	11.7751062
26:	10.3378015	71:	11.3639865	116:	11.7816162
27:	10.3484815	72:	11.3709239	117:	11.7823418
28:	10.4042904	73:	11.3743487	118:	11.787139
29:	10.4160438	74:	11.3888812	119:	11.80131
30:	10.4260522	75:	11.3959620	120:	11.8013150
31:	10.4888519	76:	11.4070515	121:	11.8091731
32:	10.489097	77:	11.4229627	122:	11.8262458
33:	10.5343945	78:	11.4275803	123:	11.8316258
34:	10.5615537	79:	11.4335963	124:	11.8463446
35:	10.5920090	80:	11.4635122	125:	11.8477745
36:	10.6199778	81:	11.4778773	126:	11.8539277
37:	10.6357266	82:	11.4795650	127:	11.8612950
38:	10.6705888	83:	11.4865115	128:	11.861906
39:	10.6705888	84:	11.4917848	129:	11.8626576
40:	10.7271348	85:	11.5019129	130:	11.873384
41:	10.7534763	86:	11.5026744	131:	11.8816873
42:	10.7563401	87:	11.5079212	132:	11.8878994
43:	10.7874579	88:	11.5262055	133:	11.8911801
44:	10.7990421	89:	11.5375492	134:	11.8911801
45:	10.8804124	90:	11.5430117	135:	11.9051986

136:	11.9111339	184:	12.2211128	232:	12.4272231
137:	11.9130597	185:	12.2249334	233:	12.4277649
138:	11.9201173	186:	12.2372753	234:	12.4294935
139:	11.9496613	187:	12.2380728	235:	12.430078
140:	11.9548014	188:	12.2478996	236:	12.4309411
141:	11.9562534	189:	12.2568250	237:	12.4342336
142:	11.9693268	190:	12.2638732	238:	12.4377764
143:	11.9695181	191:	12.2654041	239:	12.4402367
144:	11.9722376	192:	12.2654041	240:	12.4410680
145:	11.9734938	193:	12.2677948	241:	12.4447933
146:	11.9958248	194:	12.2717135	242:	12.4468324
147:	12.0009332	195:	12.276929	243:	12.451627
148:	12.0071733	196:	12.2849205	244:	12.4535759
149:	12.0251598	197:	12.2854781	245:	12.4555261
150:	12.0284546	198:	12.2900996	246:	12.4670205
151:	12.0307865	199:	12.2907040	247:	12.4679602
152:	12.0421556	200:	12.2960383	248:	12.4807071
153:	12.0460920	201:	12.3030129	249:	12.4825054
154:	12.0460920	202:	12.3085011	250:	12.4859467
155:	12.0577601	203:	12.3190359	251:	12.4903569
156:	12.0588613	204:	12.329375	252:	12.4920523
157:	12.0774156	205:	12.3320289	253:	12.4926391
158:	12.0816685	206:	12.3384939	254:	12.4963117
159:	12.0841913	207:	12.3401788	255:	12.503454
160:	12.0841913	208:	12.3457956	256:	12.5048058
161:	12.0855209	209:	12.3522024	257:	12.5059314
162:	12.0984817	210:	12.3528353	258:	12.5080834
163:	12.1038544	211:	12.3536105	259:	12.5131029
164:	12.1147206	212:	12.3554360	260:	12.513552
165:	12.1233416	213:	12.3642145	261:	12.5146366
166:	12.1269916	214:	12.3642358	262:	12.5157225
167:	12.1405768	215:	12.3711438	263:	12.5211267
168:	12.1415323	216:	12.3715722	264:	12.5230388
169:	12.1444998	217:	12.3803662	265:	12.526865
170:	12.1517046	218:	12.3806750	266:	12.5310112
171:	12.1545352	219:	12.3817306	267:	12.5343536
172:	12.1553815	220:	12.3817888	268:	12.5346198
173:	12.1682871	221:	12.3839007	269:	12.5355372
174:	12.1781926	222:	12.3842394	270:	12.5362290
175:	12.1810448	223:	12.3887363	271:	12.5370769
176:	12.1863417	224:	12.3974717	272:	12.5383370
177:	12.1976346	225:	12.3990550	273:	12.5406134
178:	12.2001378	226:	12.4033673	274:	12.5421341
179:	12.2011148	227:	12.4048315	275:	12.5480161
180:	12.2017855	228:	12.4115128	276:	12.5497054
181:	12.2124156	229:	12.4130114	277:	12.5559033
182:	12.2156059	230:	12.4159192	278:	12.5600217
183:	12.2160447	231:	12.4168618	279:	12.5693664

280: 12.5709272
281: 12.5719055
282: 12.5770091
283: 12.5797398
284: 12.5883592
285: 12.5916877
286: 12.5919487
287: 12.5988561
288: 12.6001356
289: 12.6119078
290: 12.6127051
291: 12.6133512
292: 12.6146167
293: 12.6147991
294: 12.6159185
295: 12.6174108
296: 12.6180672
297: 12.6207832
298: 12.6246110
299: 12.6247937
300: 12.6270088
301: 12.630289
302: 12.6346334
303: 12.6372939
304: 12.646941
305: 12.6479120
306: 12.6500163
307: 12.6514822
308: 12.6587897
309: 12.6606309
310: 12.6613411
311: 12.6661597
312: 12.6664503
313: 12.6670277
314: 12.6672765
315: 12.6687087
316: 12.6693988
317: 12.6699947
318: 12.6713641
319: 12.6742252
320: 12.6753857
321: 12.6794010
322: 12.6806399
323: 12.6828872
324: 12.6832494
325: 12.6860769
326: 12.6871951
327: 12.697821
328: 12.6985894
329: 12.7025794
330: 12.7033136
331: 12.7072758
332: 12.7087943
333: 12.7089644
334: 12.7097704
335: 12.7112824
336: 12.7134298
337: 12.7157367
338: 12.7195313
339: 12.7214472
340: 12.7232730
341: 12.7262254
342: 12.7294877
343: 12.7330111
344: 12.7347908
345: 12.7384981
346: 12.7399561
347: 12.7401153
348: 12.7406675
349: 12.7432168
350: 12.7436003
351: 12.7442571
352: 12.7455923
353: 12.7455926
354: 12.7460513
355: 12.7460515
356: 12.7482225
357: 12.7585029
358: 12.7599093
359: 12.7645973
360: 12.7661321
361: 12.7667392
362: 12.7672454
363: 12.7677000
364: 12.7685012
365: 12.7693876
366: 12.776313
367: 12.7769034
368: 12.7820728
369: 12.7822353
370: 12.7831954
371: 12.7833781
372: 12.7857129
373: 12.7857129
374: 12.7920778
375: 12.7925214
376: 12.7948392
377: 12.7979026
378: 12.7996480
379: 12.8016266
380: 12.8018956
381: 12.8045382
382: 12.8066760
383: 12.8107155
384: 12.8174862
385: 12.8183900
386: 12.821584
387: 12.8221324
388: 12.8226626
389: 12.8231303
390: 12.8245185
391: 12.8256219
392: 12.8272844
393: 12.8282458
394: 12.8284383
395: 12.8363006
396: 12.8365463
397: 12.8416379
398: 12.8433256
399: 12.8441243
400: 12.8447142
401: 12.8476691
402: 12.8493546
403: 12.8607115
404: 12.8609814
405: 12.8661875
406: 12.8708785
407: 12.8718074
408: 12.8718715
409: 12.8726247
410: 12.8749811
411: 12.8756532
412: 12.8763805
413: 12.8765835
414: 12.8766261
415: 12.8789947
416: 12.8792251
417: 12.8808630
418: 12.8815061
419: 12.8843508
420: 12.8885622
421: 12.8927067
422: 12.893312
423: 12.8934687

424:	12.8960354	472:	12.9892227	520:	13.0669990
425:	12.9022597	473:	12.9894036	521:	13.0670094
426:	12.9056424	474:	12.9898039	522:	13.0696241
427:	12.9077398	475:	12.9924142	523:	13.0705683
428:	12.9101791	476:	12.9936663	524:	13.0706272
429:	12.9114325	477:	12.9941005	525:	13.0708697
430:	12.9124632	478:	12.9943858	526:	13.0711347
431:	12.9127128	479:	12.9951085	527:	13.0712706
432:	12.9139315	480:	12.9952274	528:	13.0779935
433:	12.914183	481:	12.9961182	529:	13.0783591
434:	12.9161902	482:	12.9974405	530:	13.0801169
435:	12.916207	483:	12.9979937	531:	13.0862297
436:	12.9186228	484:	13.0009026	532:	13.0874702
437:	12.9186228	485:	13.0011358	533:	13.0896096
438:	12.9205532	486:	13.0012106	534:	13.0923100
439:	12.9246735	487:	13.0040128	535:	13.0927300
440:	12.9250878	488:	13.0054875	536:	13.0931814
441:	12.9254826	489:	13.0072294	537:	13.0934364
442:	12.9316159	490:	13.0074697	538:	13.0936906
443:	12.9320131	491:	13.0084985	539:	13.0967306
444:	12.9332599	492:	13.0090672	540:	13.0977768
445:	12.9342855	493:	13.0114696	541:	13.1030816
446:	12.9379678	494:	13.0128611	542:	13.1040997
447:	12.9380414	495:	13.0147794	543:	13.1046457
448:	12.9482748	496:	13.0151189	544:	13.1049271
449:	12.9507107	497:	13.0180899	545:	13.1053328
450:	12.952697	498:	13.0181706	546:	13.1062826
451:	12.9542260	499:	13.0202361	547:	13.1097041
452:	12.9564114	500:	13.020657	548:	13.1111005
453:	12.9574588	501:	13.0224109	549:	13.1130951
454:	12.9594551	502:	13.0234614	550:	13.1140612
455:	12.9621565	503:	13.0266063	551:	13.1162075
456:	12.9623225	504:	13.0269017	552:	13.1169051
457:	12.9675033	505:	13.0295852	553:	13.1174496
458:	12.9727244	506:	13.0296075	554:	13.1186799
459:	12.9769842	507:	13.032234	555:	13.1210031
460:	12.9787127	508:	13.0380346	556:	13.1213456
461:	12.9787488	509:	13.0409708	557:	13.1222987
462:	12.978926	510:	13.0418263	558:	13.1231679
463:	12.9803944	511:	13.0456395	559:	13.1247713
464:	12.9810244	512:	13.0459132	560:	13.1268511
465:	12.9821528	513:	13.0543813	561:	13.1292634
466:	12.9826962	514:	13.0572175	562:	13.1304211
467:	12.9841541	515:	13.0573782	563:	13.1314807
468:	12.9852469	516:	13.0617630	564:	13.1317051
469:	12.9870666	517:	13.0619967	565:	13.1343683
470:	12.9871326	518:	13.0636692	566:	13.1357657
471:	12.9875988	519:	13.063861	567:	13.1382809

568: 13.1388930
569: 13.1390365
570: 13.1444814
571: 13.1456676
572: 13.1460156
573: 13.1470214
574: 13.1477861
575: 13.1489491
576: 13.1522210
577: 13.1555327
578: 13.15596
579: 13.1600088
580: 13.1600749
581: 13.1619423
582: 13.1621361
583: 13.1640136
584: 13.1650307
585: 13.1652047
586: 13.1665945
587: 13.1679649
588: 13.168069
589: 13.1690101
590: 13.1702350
591: 13.1715685
592: 13.1721263
593: 13.1738399
594: 13.1739971
595: 13.1756584
596: 13.1757761
597: 13.1760025
598: 13.1786547
599: 13.1817410
600: 13.1832403
601: 13.183777
602: 13.1856221
603: 13.1862884
604: 13.1875498
605: 13.1897624
606: 13.1897771
607: 13.1909487
608: 13.1926681
609: 13.1929176
610: 13.1936068
611: 13.1942405
612: 13.1945769
613: 13.1965696
614: 13.2021880
615: 13.2027053
616: 13.2027089
617: 13.2037099
618: 13.2060858
619: 13.2065159
620: 13.2073974
621: 13.2083242
622: 13.2104582
623: 13.2129831
624: 13.2170375
625: 13.2189832
626: 13.2191858
627: 13.2192984
628: 13.2210006
629: 13.2214908
630: 13.2215990
631: 13.2226254
632: 13.2237937
633: 13.2242809
634: 13.2254565
635: 13.2310355
636: 13.23436
637: 13.2363318
638: 13.2382701
639: 13.2387091
640: 13.238879
641: 13.2409922
642: 13.241284
643: 13.2424799
644: 13.2427474
645: 13.2430734
646: 13.2437962
647: 13.2443978
648: 13.2444270
649: 13.2458608
650: 13.2461749
651: 13.2461749
652: 13.2491505
653: 13.2494142
654: 13.2507197
655: 13.2543603
656: 13.2546591
657: 13.2547085
658: 13.2551004
659: 13.2555559
660: 13.2558775
661: 13.2566728
662: 13.2580638
663: 13.2590908
664: 13.262002
665: 13.2620194
666: 13.2623329
667: 13.2627342
668: 13.264521
669: 13.2655601
670: 13.268484
671: 13.2688609
672: 13.2720985
673: 13.2723909
674: 13.2723909
675: 13.2724289
676: 13.2731006
677: 13.2736291
678: 13.2737198
679: 13.2737812
680: 13.2746987
681: 13.2755816
682: 13.2775572
683: 13.2784161
684: 13.2787393
685: 13.2793568
686: 13.2802927
687: 13.2827828
688: 13.2834887
689: 13.2871770
690: 13.2876890
691: 13.2884589
692: 13.2896787
693: 13.2921035
694: 13.2937144
695: 13.3003419
696: 13.3009378
697: 13.3031293
698: 13.3034265
699: 13.3047731
700: 13.3052398
701: 13.3068856
702: 13.3079604
703: 13.3091544
704: 13.31075
705: 13.3107847
706: 13.3109185
707: 13.3136447
708: 13.3138381
709: 13.3163635
710: 13.3179858
711: 13.3183921

712: 13.3185439
713: 13.318907
714: 13.3191095
715: 13.3191284
716: 13.319623
717: 13.3202038
718: 13.3212566
719: 13.3223716
720: 13.3239867
721: 13.3259183
722: 13.3266908
723: 13.3278201
724: 13.3279098
725: 13.3283104
726: 13.3284008
727: 13.3296515
728: 13.3315609
729: 13.3321591
730: 13.3327367
731: 13.3341648
732: 13.3355411
733: 13.3388411
734: 13.339317
735: 13.3393506
736: 13.3400671
737: 13.3407227
738: 13.3408317
739: 13.3422229
740: 13.3428668
741: 13.3453554
742: 13.3454021
743: 13.3470733
744: 13.3474075
745: 13.3475313
746: 13.3480883
747: 13.3493078
748: 13.3497468
749: 13.3502204
750: 13.3509879
751: 13.3513294
752: 13.3516647
753: 13.3524406
754: 13.3536589
755: 13.3558375
756: 13.3571361
757: 13.357809
758: 13.3584357
759: 13.3586095
760: 13.3591299
761: 13.3599534
762: 13.3599811
763: 13.3616158
764: 13.3618647
765: 13.362095
766: 13.3637717
767: 13.3663303
768: 13.3667382
769: 13.3677394
770: 13.3682944
771: 13.3704383
772: 13.3707603
773: 13.3707862
774: 13.373108
775: 13.374108
776: 13.3745796
777: 13.3767745
778: 13.3783487
779: 13.3783487
780: 13.3836986
781: 13.3853641
782: 13.3862236
783: 13.3864672
784: 13.3875324
785: 13.3877963
786: 13.3883749
787: 13.3883982
788: 13.3892607
789: 13.3903343
790: 13.3903839
791: 13.3914604
792: 13.3921628
793: 13.3925619
794: 13.393811
795: 13.3958043
796: 13.3967555
797: 13.3974018
798: 13.3984599
799: 13.3996204
800: 13.4001767
801: 13.4004630
802: 13.4009408
803: 13.4041059
804: 13.4048751
805: 13.4053031
806: 13.4056015
807: 13.4057424
808: 13.4086268
809: 13.4088302
810: 13.4099862
811: 13.4112902
812: 13.4116875
813: 13.4135964
814: 13.4138043
815: 13.4142589
816: 13.4155186
817: 13.4156955
818: 13.4157039
819: 13.416979
820: 13.4172534
821: 13.4178439
822: 13.4181377
823: 13.4197214
824: 13.420017
825: 13.42033

Appendix B: 1-skeleta of the 100 smallest polyhedra




21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 	42 	43 	44 
45 	46 	47 	48 
49 	50 	51 	52 
53 	54 	55 	56 
57 	58 	59 	60 


61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80

81 

82 

83 

84 

85 

86 

87 

88 

89 

90 

91 

92 

93 

94 

95 

96 

97 

98 

99 

100 

E-mail address: taiyoinoue@gmail.com